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Abstract- Problems with traditional methods of image indexing have led to the rise of interest in techniques for retrieving images on the basis of automatically-derived features such as colour, texture and shape – a technology now generally referred to as Content-Based Image Retrieval. These techniques encompass diversified areas, viz. image segmentation, image feature extraction, representation, mapping of features to semantics, storage and indexing, image similarity-distance measurement and retrieval, making CBIR system development a challenging task. This paper does a survey & comparison of different methods of content based image retrieval available. It also considers comparison with the techniques which uses concepts like lifting scheme to consider best weights of the images in the database when compared to query and gives improved retrieval performances. It takes a peek into the work done in this field and finally concludes the best method available after comparing all.

Index Terms- Memory learning; Bayesian estimation; color histogram; rotated complex wavelet filters; SVM; CWT; GLCM; CCM.

1. INTRODUCTION-

The earliest use of the term content-based image retrieval in the literature seems to have been by Kato [1992], to describe his experiments into automatic retrieval of images from a database by colour and shape feature. The term has since been widely used to describe the process of retrieving desired images from a large collection on the basis of features (such as colour, texture and shape) that can be automatically extracted from the images themselves. CBIR draws many of its methods from the field of image processing and computer vision, and is regarded by some as a subset of that field. A typical system shown in figure1 allows users to formulate queries by submitting an example of the type of image being sought, though some offer alternatives such as selection from a palette or sketch input. The system then identifies those stored images whose feature values match those of the query most closely, and displays the result.

CBIR can be divided in the following stages:
• Preprocessing: The image is first processed in order to extract the features, which describe its contents. The processing involves filtering, normalization, segmentation, and object identification. The output of this stage is a set of significant regions and objects.
• Feature extraction: Features such as shape, texture, color, etc. are used to describe the content of the image. Image features can be classified into primitives.

CBIR combines high-tech elements such as:
- Multimedia, signal and image processing,
- Pattern recognition,
- Human-computer interaction,
- Human perception information sciences.

2. Literature review

A brief summary of some of the CBIR systems has been presented in this section. In a research work carried out by cho et.al [1], an image retrieval system based on human preference and emotion by using an interactive genetic algorithm (IGA) was developed. This system extracts the feature from images by wavelet transform, and provides a user-friendly means to retrieve an image from a large database when the user cannot clearly define what the image must be. Therefore, this facilitates the search for the image not only with explicit queries, but also with implicit queries such as “cheerful impression,” “gloomy impression,” and so on. A thorough experiment with a 2000 image database shows the usefulness of the proposed system.

Fig1. Block diagram for CBIR system
Zhong Su et al [2], proposed a new relevance feedback approach to CBIR with progressive learning capability combined with a novel method for the feature subspace extraction. The proposed approach is based on a Bayesian classifier and treats positive and negative feedback examples with different strategies. Positive examples are used to estimate a Gaussian distribution that represents the desired images for a given query; while the negative examples are used to modify the ranking of the retrieved candidates. In addition, feature subspace is extracted and updated during the feedback process using a Principal Component Analysis (PCA) technique and based on user’s feedback. That is, in addition to reducing the dimensionality of feature spaces, a proper subspace for each type of features is obtained in the feedback process to further improve the retrieval accuracy. Experiments demonstrate that the proposed method increases the retrieval speed, reduces the required memory and improves the retrieval accuracy significantly. This dynamic dimension adjusting method is especially effective when the feature dimensions are significantly reduced, e.g., lower than 30% of the original dimensions. The feedback process plays two roles: providing information for updating the Gaussian parameters in the Bayesian feedback, and providing evidence for the adjustment of feature subspace dimensionalities. In principle, the proposed feature subspace extraction method can be incorporated in any other content-based retrieval methods to save memory and to speed-up computation.

Junwei Han et.al [3], in their study reported a framework for effective image retrieval by employing a novel idea of memory learning. It forms a knowledge memory model to store the semantic information by simply accumulating user-provided interactions. A learning strategy is then applied to predict the semantic relationships among images according to the memorized knowledge. Image queries are finally performed based on a seamless combination of low-level features and learned semantics. One important advantage of their framework is its ability to efficiently annotate images and also propagate the keyword annotation from the labeled images to unlabeled images. The presented algorithm has been integrated into a practical image retrieval system. Experimental evaluations on a large-scale image database have already shown very promising results. However, a limitation of the proposed work is that it somewhat lacks sufficient theoretical justification.

Mumtaz et.al[5], presented a novel color image retrieval system (SVM Bir) based on dual tree complex wavelet transform (CWT) and support vector machines (SVM). They have shown how one can improve the performance of image retrieval systems by assuming two attributes. Firstly, images that user needs through query image are similar to a group of images with same conception. Secondly, there exists non-linear relationship between feature vectors of different images and can be exploited very efficiently with the use of support vector machines. At first level, for low level feature extraction we have used dual tree complex wavelet transform because recently it is proven that CWT can be used for efficient color feature extraction just like texture feature extraction. At second level to extract semantic concepts, we grouped images of typical classes with the use of one against all support vector machines. We have also shown how one can use a correlation based distance metric for comparison of SVM distance vectors. The experimental results show that the proposed approach has superior retrieval performance over the existing linear feature combining techniques.

Zhang et.al [7], in their work applied the watermarking technique into the content based image retrieval system and proposed a novel approach for JPEG image retrieval. The proposed image retrieval system consists of two main phases, offline process and online retrieval process. The feature vector is extracted from each image as the watermark to be embedded into the image, which is the preprocessing operation called offline process. It doesn’t require decompressing the JPEG images but directly embedding the watermark in the DCT domain. The online retrieval process consists of three processes, i.e., query feature computation, watermark extraction and feature vector matching. Since the features are embedded in the image data, it is unnecessary to compute the features but only to extract it from the watermarked image. The system embeds the features in the images, and we need no extra space to save the feature data. Therefore, the storage space is saved.

Lee et.al [8], in their paper, proposed an efficient image retrieval algorithm. Using this algorithm, desired images can be retrieved by using similar input sample images. Their research images included vehicles, buildings, flowers and other natural scenes. Firstly, edge and morphological filter on the grey scale images is applied to refill and extract the largest interesting object from the image. Second, an image retrieval algorithm called Region of Interest (ROI) Motif Co-occurrence Matrix (RMCM) is developed to find the relation of the neighboring pixels on the image. In this algorithm, a 2 x 2 pattern called a motif is generated. The main idea of this algorithm is to quickly and accurately find the characteristic values about motif. Finally, comparison of the Euclidean distance of the characteristic values from the motif to locate the most similar image from database is done. In the developed algorithm the partly area motif and characteristic area center location methods are combined to raise the accuracy and speed of
recognition. Using the proposed algorithm RMCM, the mean processing time is about 0.82 seconds per image. This value is faster than using Motif Co-occurrence Matrix (MCM) by about 2.57 times. The accurate recognition rates are about 95% and 87% as related to vehicles and buildings. Compared with MCM, experiments showed that RMCM has a higher recognition rate based on structure content such as buses and buildings, and natural content such as flowers. It also reduced the time to calculate the feature of images, speeding up the image retrieval system.

Dr Kekre et.al [9], proposed a novel block truncation coding (BTC) extended to color clumps for image retrieval purpose. Total of 24 variations using four color clumps and six color spaces are experimented on image database having 1000 images. Experimental results have shown that the YCbCr color space gives better results than other considered color spaces (YUV, LUV, YCgCb, YIQ and RGB). Performance increases with increase in number of color clumps up to 8 Clumps and then starts to decrease. The Extended BTC 8 Clumps with YCbCr color space is given the best performance in image retrieval.

Bounthan et.al [10], proposed a novel framework for combining and weighting all of three i.e. color, shape and texture features to achieve higher retrieval efficiency. The color feature is extracted by quantifying the YUV color space and the color attributes like the mean value, the standard deviation, and the image bitmap of YUV color space is represented. The texture features are obtained by the entropy based on the gray level co-occurrence matrix and the edge histogram descriptor of an image. The shape feature descriptor is derived from Fourier descriptors (FDs) and the FDs derived from different signatures. When computing the similarity between the query image and target image in the database, normalization information distance is also used for adjusting distance values into the same level. And then the linear combination has used to combine the normalized distance of the color, shape and texture features to obtain the similarity as the indexing of image. The experimental results of the proposed approach have showed weight variation to achieve higher retrieval efficiency: the efficiency can be achieved in 91% by weight $w_c = 70\%$, $w_s = 20\%$ and $w_t = 10\%$. In addition, we had compared the efficiency and accuracy with other the retrieval scheme, which the our approach had better than the performance of other systems.

Lai et.al [14] proposed a user oriented mechanism based on interactive genetic algorithm (IGA). Color attributes like mean, standard deviation and image bitmap of color image are used as features for retrieval. In addition, Entropy based on Grey level co-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table I: Comparison of different CBIR techniques</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Algorithm used</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bayesian Relevance feedback, PCA [2]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Method</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IGA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Memory learning framework [4]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**4. CONCLUSION**

In this paper a comparison of different CBIR techniques is carried out and it is concluded that a combination of weight variation [10] and IGA [14] will give better performance as compared to various other techniques as it gives high performance based on the weights assigned to various features.
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